Applicant

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAM	MINING AUTHORIT	Y	Due: 8-11-24 C/U: 7-4,8-4
To: GEORGE T. MARCOU KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 607 FOURT STOCKTON LLP 607 FOURTEENTH STREET, N.W. SUITE 900 BE BUSINESS OF THE STREET, N.W. SUITE 900 BE BUSINESS OF THE STREET, N.W. SUITE 900 BUSINESS OF THE 900 BUSINESS OF THE STREET, N.W. SUITE 900 BUSINESS OF THE 900 BUSINESS OF THE 900 BU	2002		PCT Atty: LANGUAGE POR 215(U. Action: ZD) WRITTEN OPH SHE 5-11-94 (PCT Rule 66)
KILPATRICK STO	CKTON LLP	Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	11 JUN 2002
Applicant's or agent's life reference CITI0213-PCT			on the above date of mading
International application No. II PCT/US01/03202	nternational filing dat 01 FEBRUARY 200		Priority date (day/month/year) 03 FEBRUARY 2000
International Patent Classification (IPC) or IPC(7): G06F 17/60 and US Cl.: 705/4	r both national classif 10, 39, 41, 42; 235/375	ication and IPC 9, 380	

c	ITICORP DEVELOPMENT CENTER, INC.
1.	This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.
2.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
	1 X Basis of the opinion
	II Priority
	111 Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
	IV Lack of unity of invention
	V X Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement
	VI Certain documents cited
	VII Certain defects in the international application
	VIII Certain observations on the international application
3.	The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.
	When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66:2(d):
	How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 663. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.
	Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.
	If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.
4.	The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 03 JUNE 2002
M.	me and mailing address of the IPEA/US Aythorized officer
[Na]	Commission of Patrula and Tradrauarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 90231 HANI KAZIMI
Fac	simile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703)-305-1061

## BEST AVAILABLE COPYRITTEN OPINION PCT/US01/03202 Basis of the opinion 1. With regard to the elements of the international application:\* X the international application as originally filed the description. as originally lifed pages \_\_\_ filed with the demand NONE pages \_\_\_ NONE filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_ pages \_\_\_ x the claims ar originally filled 16-17 pages \_\_\_ . as amended (Logether with any statement) under Article 19 Dages \_\_ hied with the demand NONE pages ....\_.. Dages \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ filed with the letter of x the drawings 1-4 az öriginalli. Likid pages \_\_\_ NONE \_\_\_\_\_\_filed with the demand pages \_\_\_ NONE filed with the letter of pages \_\_ X the sequence listing part of the dageription NONE . filed with the demond pages \_\_\_\_ NONE . filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_\_. the language of a translation lumnshed for the purposes of international learch (under Folio 1943). The language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48-3151) the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Role 1822 and or 55.31 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application. The entire is a minor is a drawn on the basis of the sequence listing contained in the international application in printed form filed logether with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form

 Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".

be and the disclosure at fried at indicated in the Eupplemental Best Palis 50 Acid.

This opinion has been drawn as it Genic off the amendment, had not been made suite the most acquise as where the si-

The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go become the disclosine in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the minimator recorded in computer readable form is identical to the statement in the first three statements. It is been furnished.

4 X The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of

X the description pages NONE

X the claims. Nos NONE

X the drawings sheets 4g NONE

## WRITTEN OPINION

PCT/US01/03202

Novelty (N)	Clairus	NONE	Y
	Claims	1-10	_ ×
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	Y
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Clairus	1-10	N
	Clairus	1-10	Y
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	NONE	_ ×
	-		
citations and explanations	autor beis-	anticipated by Kolling et al US Pat. No. 5,963,925	
Claims 1-10 lack noverty under 1 C 1 Article	55(2) as being	and opinion of the same	
the merchant payment processing system; t	he multi-functi ision (figs. 10-1	least one of the interactive hill presentment and paymen on financial services system and/or the credit and deb 6, and column 30, line 35 thru column 35, line 30).	it syste